Details on the counter-examples:

1. The set in the main folder were taken by me (Katie) from various helicopters and are all of southern Louisiana. There are also a handful of pictures from a helo pilot friend, mostly of Florida
2. The earthexplorer set are screenshots taken by me from earthexplorer.usgs.gov. These were all taken at either of the two most magnified settings, and are a spread of locations, with images from:
   1. Michigan
   2. Texas
   3. Colorado
   4. Idaho/Montana
   5. Nevada
   6. Alaska
   7. Hawaii
   8. Pennsylvania
   9. Louisiana
   10. Maine
   11. Canada
   12. Cuba
   13. Haiti
   14. Morocco
   15. France
   16. Great Britain
   17. India
   18. The Philippines
3. USGS photos came from a USGS website. This is a small collection, and are mostly of a volcano. I am hopeful that these will add some contrast with wildfire images.
4. I think these have a decent spread of landscapes; buildings; town layouts; cities, rural areas, and industrial zones; and vegetation. There are also places that are prone to some our disaster types and places that are less likely to experience our disaster types.
5. Weaknesses:
   1. We need about 4 times the number of images we have right now
   2. We need images at different angles and elevations
   3. I do not feel as though I captured enough images that have similar characteristics to wild fires.